

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/599,695	WARDLAW, STEPHEN C.	
	Examiner CHARLES HAMMOND	Art Unit 1797	

All Participants:(1) CHARLES HAMMOND.**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Richard Getz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2010**Time:** 11:00pm EST**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

Claims discussed:*Claims 1 and 33***Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jill Warden/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Getz returned the examiner's phone call concerning a possible examiner's amendment to the claims. Mr. Getz submitted proposed claim amendments in response to the examiner's comments. The examiner indicated that the claim amendments were acceptable and would enter them in an examiner's amendment. Mr. Getz authorized the examiner's amendment. .